

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/847,719	05/02/2001	Tadayoshi Nagaoka	645-144 7027	
75	90 08/06/2002			
James V. Costigan, Esq. HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. Suite 2003 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036-2646			EXAMINER	
			GREENE, JASON M	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<b>y</b>			1724	5
		DATE MAILED: 08/06/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		1.P
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/847,719	NAGAOKA ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Jason M. Greene	1724	
ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH	(S) FROM	
6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin	nely filed	
within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE date of this communication, even if timely filed	the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
action is non-final.		
nce except for formal matters, pr Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4		S
n from consideration.		
ection requirement.		
ed or b)⊡ objected to by the Exa	miner.	
drawing(s) be held in abeyance. S		
is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disappro	• •	
y to this Office action. miner.		
priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	i)-(d) or (f).	
have been received.		
have been received in Applicati	on No	
ty documents have been receive eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). f the certified copies not receive	_	
priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e		on).
risional application has been rec priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120		-
	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	

	09/847,719	NAGAOKA ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jason M. Greene	1724				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on						
	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 1-28 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.  15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal P	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) latent Application (PTO-152)				
Potent and Trademod. Office						

Application/Control Number: 09/847,719

Art Unit: 1724

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-20, drawn to a method for manufacturing a three-dimensional net-like structure, classified in class 29, subclass 428.
  - II. Claims 21-24, drawn to a packing, classified in class 261, subclass 94.
  - III. Claims 25-28, drawn to a three-dimensional net-like structure, classified in class 55, subclass 525.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group I and Group II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method for manufacturing a three-dimensional net-like structure could be used to manufacture a different packing, a mist eliminator, or a multi-layer filtering film.

Application/Control Number: 09/847,719

Art Unit: 1724

Inventions Group I and Group III are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the method for manufacturing a three-dimensional net-like structure could be used to manufacture a different three-dimensional net-like structure or a packing.

Inventions Group II and Group III are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different functions. The packing of Group I is used to perform gas and liquid contacting to effect mass transfer while the three-dimensional net-like structure is used to remove mist and particulate matter from a gas stream.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control/Number: 09/847,719

Art Unit: 1724

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

## Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Greene whose telephone number is (703) 308-6240. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday - Friday (7:00 AM to 5:30 PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Simmons can be reached on (703) 308-1972. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7718 for regular communications and (703) 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Jason M. Greene Examiner Art Unit 1724 Ing

David A. Simmons
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Technology Center 1700

jmg August 1, 2002